

**Action brought on 21 March 2022 — Volkswagen v EUIPO — XTG (XTG)****(Case T-154/22)**

(2022/C 198/87)

*Language in which the application was lodged: English***Parties***Applicant:* Volkswagen AG (Wolfsburg, Germany) (represented by: S. Machei and G. Orsoni, lawyers)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* XTG S.A. (Wrocław, Poland)**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO***Applicant of the trade mark at issue:* Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal*Trade mark at issue:* European Union word mark XTG — Application for registration No 18 120 217*Procedure before EUIPO:* Opposition proceedings*Contested decision:* Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 20 December 2021 in Case R 1385/2021-5**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO and, as the case may be, the intervener to bear the costs of the proceedings.

**Plea in law**

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

---

**Action brought on 16 March 2022 — Korporaciya ‘Masternet’ v EUIPO — Stayer Ibérica (STAYER)****(Case T-155/22)**

(2022/C 198/88)

*Language in which the application was lodged: English***Parties***Applicant:* ZAO Korporaciya ‘Masternet’ (Moscow, Russia) (represented by: N. Bürglen, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* Stayer Ibérica, SA (Pinto, Spain)**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO***Proprietor of the trade mark at issue:* Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal*Trade mark at issue:* European Union figurative mark STAYER — European Union trade mark No 9 498 015*Procedure before EUIPO:* Cancellation proceedings*Contested decision:* Decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 21 December 2021 in Case R 931/2021-1

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

**Plea in law**

- Infringement of Article 15 of Council Regulation (EC) No 207/2009.

---

**Action brought on 23 March 2022 — Sanetview v EUIPO — 2boca2catering (Las Cebras)**  
**(Case T-159/22)**  
(2022/C 198/89)

*Language in which the application was lodged: Spanish*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Sanetview, SLU (Andorra la Vella, Andorra) (represented by: J. Gallego Jiménez, E. Sanz Valls, P. Bauzá Martínez, Y. Hernández Viñes and C. Marí Aguilar, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* 2boca2catering, SL (Seville, Spain)

**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO**

*Applicant for the trade mark at issue:* Applicant before the General Court

*Trade mark at issue:* Application for European Union figurative mark Las Cebras — Application No 18 169 269

*Procedure before EUIPO:* Opposition proceedings

*Contested decision:* Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 4 January 2022 in Case R 1070/2021-5

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- reject the opposition filed against the contested mark, by finding that the trade marks at issue can co-exist peacefully;
- order EUIPO and the intervener to pay the costs.

**Plea in law**

Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) Regulation EU 2017/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

---

**Action brought on 25 March 2022 — Ortega Montero v Parliament**  
**(Case T-161/22)**  
(2022/C 198/90)

*Language of the case: French*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Maria Del Carmen Ortega Montero (Bruxelles, Belgium) (represented by: N. de Montigny, lawyer)