precluding undertakings which are linked or controlled from participating individually in public procurement procedures for the supply of services

# Operative part of the judgment

- 1. The first paragraph of Article 29 of Council Directive 92/50/EEC of 18 June 1992 relating to the coordination of procedures for the award of public service contracts must be interpreted as not precluding a Member State from laying down, in addition to the grounds for exclusion contained in that provision, other grounds for exclusion intended to guarantee respect for the principles of equality of treatment and transparency, provided that such measures do not go beyond what is necessary to achieve that objective.
- 2. Community law precludes a national provision which, while pursuing legitimate objectives of equality of treatment of tenderers and transparency in procedures for the award of public contracts, lays down an absolute prohibition on simultaneous and competing participation in the same tendering procedure by undertakings linked by a relationship of control, without allowing them an opportunity to demonstrate that that relationship did not influence their conduct in the course of that tendering procedure.

(1) OJ C 37, 9.2.2008.

Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 7 May 2009 (reference for a preliminary ruling from the Raad van State (Netherlands)) — College van burgemeester en wethouders van Rotterdam v M.E.E. Rijkeboer

(Case C-553/07) (1)

(Protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data — Directive 95/46/EC — Respect for private life — Erasure of data — Right of access to data and to information on the recipients of data — Time-limit on the exercise of the right to access)

(2009/C 153/19)

Language of the case: Dutch

## Referring court

Raad van State

#### Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: College van burgemeester en wethouders van Rotterdam

Defendant: M.E.E. Rijkeboer

# Re:

Preliminary ruling — Raad van State (Netherlands) — Interpretation of Articles 6(1)(e) and 12(a) of Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of

personal data and on the free movement of such data (OJ 1995 L 281, p. 31) — National legislation limiting the right of access to data processed during the year prior to the request for access — Principle of proportionality

# Operative part of the judgment

- 1. Article 12(a) of Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data requires Member States to ensure a right of access to information on the recipients or categories of recipient of personal data and on the content of the data disclosed not only in respect of the present but also in respect of the past. It is for Member States to fix a time-limit for storage of that information and to provide for access to that information which constitutes a fair balance between, on the one hand, the interest of the data subject in protecting his privacy, in particular by way of his rights to object and to bring legal proceedings and, on the other, the burden which the obligation to store that information represents for the controller.
- 2. Rules limiting the storage of information on the recipients or categories of recipient of personal data and on the content of the data disclosed to a period of one year and correspondingly limiting access to that information, while basic data is stored for a much longer period, do not constitute a fair balance of the interest and obligation at issue, unless it can be shown that longer storage of that information would constitute an excessive burden on the controller. It is, however, for national courts to make the determinations necessary.

(1) OJ C 64, 8.3.2008.

Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 30 April 2009 (reference for a preliminary ruling from the Bundesverwaltungsgericht (Germany)) — BIOS Naturprodukte GmbH v Saarland

(Case C-27/08) (1)

(Directive 2001/83/EC — Article 1(2)(b) — Concept of 'medicinal product by function' — Dosage of the product — Normal conditions of use — Risk to health — Ability to restore, correct or modify physiological functions in human beings)

(2009/C 153/20)

Language of the case: German

### Referring court

Bundesverwaltungsgericht

#### Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: BIOS Naturprodukte GmbH

Defendant: Saarland