Judgment of the General Court of 9 September 2020 — Daw v EUIPO (SOS Loch- und Rissfüller)

(Case T-626/19) (1)

(EU trade mark — Application for EU word mark SOS Loch- und Rissfüller — Absolute grounds for refusal — No distinctive character — Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 — Descriptive character — Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation 2017/1001)

(2020/C 399/50)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Daw SE (Ober-Ramstadt, Germany) (represented by: A. Haberl, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: D. Walicka, acting as Agent)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 18 July 2019 (Case R 278/2019-4), relating to an application for registration of the word sign SOS Loch- und Rissfüller as an EU trade mark.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Daw SE to pay the costs.

(1) OJ C 372, 4.11.2019.

Judgment of the General Court of 23 September 2020 — Polfarmex v EUIPO — Kaminski (SYRENA)

(Case T-677/19) (1)

(EU trade mark — Revocation proceedings — EU word mark SYRENA — Genuine use of the mark — Extent of use — Proof of use — Articles 18(1) and 58(1)(a) and (2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 — Duty to state reasons — First sentence of Article 94(1) of Regulation 2017/1001)

(2020/C 399/51)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Polfarmex S.A. (Kutno, Poland) (represented by: B. Matusiewicz-Kulig, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: H. O'Neill, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: Arkadiusz Kaminski (Etobicoke, Ontario, Canada) (represented by: E. Pijewska, M. Mazurek and W. Trybowski, lawyers)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 11 July 2019 (Joined Cases R 1861/2018-2 and R 1840/2018-2), relating to revocation proceedings between Polfarmex and Mr Kaminski.